# **WQ-PRINCIPALLY INJECTIVE MODULES**

## P. Yordsorn\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajabhat Rajanagarindra University, Chachoengsao 24000, Thailand.

(Received On: 02-02-15; Revised & Accepted On: 25-02-15)

## **ABSTRACT**

Let M be a right R – module. A right R – module N is called WM – principally injective if, for each  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ , any R – homomorphism from s(M) to N can be extended to an R – homomorphism from M to N. M is called WQ – principally injective if, it is WM – principally injective. In this paper, we give some characterizations and properties of WQ – principally injective modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C10, 13C11, 13C60.

Key words and phrases: WM - principally Injective Modules and Endomorphism Rings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R will be an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right R – modules. For right R – modules M and N,  $Hom_R(M,N)$  denotes the set of all R – homomorphisms from M to N and  $S = End_R(M)$  denotes the endomorphism ring of M. A submodule X of M is said to be M – cyclic submodule of M if it is the image of an element of S. If X is a subset of M the right (resp. left) annihilator of X in R (resp. S) is denoted by  $r_R(X)$  (resp.  $l_S(X)$ ). By notations,  $N \subset M$ , and  $N \subset M$  we mean that N is a direct summand, an essential submodule and a superfluous submodule of M, respectively. We denote the Jacobson radical of M by J(M).

Let R be a ring. A right R-module M is called *principally injective* (or P-injective), if every R-homomorphism from a principal right ideal of R to M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R to M. Equivalently,  $l_M r_R(a) = Ma$  for all  $a \in R$ . This notion was introduced by Camillo [2] for commutative rings. In [7], Nicholson and Yousif studied the structure of principally injective rings and gave some applications. Nicholson, Park, and Yousif [8] extended this notion of principally injective rings to the one for modules.

Following [9], a right R – module M is called *quasi-principally injective*, if for each  $s \in S$ ,  $l_s(Ker(s)) = Ss$ . In [10], L.V. Thuyet, and T.C. Quynh, introduced the definition of small principally injective modules, a right R – module M is called *small principally injective* (briefly, SP – *injective*) if, every R – homomorphism from a small and principal right ideal aR to M can be extended to an R – homomorphism from R to R is called *small principally* R is R is R is R – injective. A right R – module R is called *small principally* R – injective (briefly, R – homomorphism from a small and principal submodule of R to R can be extended to an R – homomorphism from R to R . In [5] R is called right R in this note we introduce the definition of R injective modules and give some characterizations and properties. Some results on principally quasi-injective modules R are extended to these modules.

# 2. WQ - PRINCIPALLY INJECTIVE MODULES

Let M be a right R – module with  $S = End_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ . Following [8], write

$$W(S) = \left\{ s \in S : Ker(s) \subset^{e} M \right\}.$$

It is known that W(S) is an ideal of S.

**Definition 2.1:** Let M be a right R – module. A right R – module N is called WM – *principally injective* if, for each  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ , any R – homomorphism from s(M) to N can be extended to an R – homomorphism from M to N. M is called WQ – *principally injective* if, it is WM – principally injective.

**Lemma 2.2:** Let M and N be right R – modules. Then N is WM – principally injective if and only if for each  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ ,

$$Hom_{_{R}}(M,N)s = \left\{ f \in Hom_{_{R}}(M,N) : f(Ker(s)) = 0 \right\}.$$

 $\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Proof:} \quad \text{Clearly, } Hom_R^-(M,N)s \subset \left\{ f \in Hom_R^-(M,N) : f\left(Ker(s)\right) = 0 \right. \right\}. \\ &\text{Let } f \in Hom_R^-(M,N) \text{ such that } \left. f\left(Ker(s)\right) = 0. \right. \text{ Then there exists an } \left. R - \text{homomorphism} \right. \end{aligned}$ 

WQ - Principally Injective Modules  $\phi: s(M) \to N$  such that  $\phi s = f$  by Factor Theorem because  $Ker(s) \subset Ker(f)$ . Since N is WM - principally injective, there exists an R - homomorphism  $t: M \to N$  such that  $\phi = t\iota$  where  $\iota: s(M) \to M$  is the inclusion map. Hence f = ts and therefore  $f \in Hom_R(M, N)s$ .

Conversely, let  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and  $\phi : s(M) \to N$  be an R-homomorphism. Then  $\phi s \in Hom_R(M,N)$  and  $\phi s(Ker(s)) = 0$ . By assumption, we have  $\phi s = us$  for some  $u \in Hom_R(M,N)$ . This shows that N is WM-principally injective.

**Lemma 2.3:** Let  $N_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  be WM – principally injective modules. Then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i$  is WM – principally injective.

**Proof:** It is enough to prove the result for n=2. Let  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and  $\phi: s(M) \to N_1 \oplus N_2$  be an R-homomorphism. Since  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are WM-principally injective, there exists R-homomorphisms  $\phi_1: M \to N_1$  and  $\phi_2: M \to N_2$  such that  $\phi_1 \iota = \pi_1 \phi$  and  $\phi_2 \iota = \pi_2 \phi$  where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the projection maps from  $N_1 \oplus N_2$  to  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , respectively, and  $\iota: s(M) \to M$  is the inclusion map. Put  $\hat{\phi} = \iota_1 \phi_1 + \iota_2 \phi_2: M \to N_1 \oplus N_2$ .

Thus it is clear that  $\hat{\phi}$  extends  $\phi$ .

**Lemma 2.4:** Any direct summand of a WM – principally injective modules is again WM – principally injective.

**Proof:** By definition.

**Lemma 2.5:** If  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and s(M) is WM – principally injective, then  $s(M) \subset^{\oplus} M$ .

**Proof:** Since s(M) is WM – principally injective, there exists an R – homomorphism  $\phi: M \to s(M)$  such that  $\phi\iota=1_{s(M)}$  where  $\iota:s(M)\to M$  is the inclusion map. Then by [1, Lemma 5.1],  $\iota$  is a split monomorphism, therefore  $s(M)\subset^{\oplus}M$ .

**Theorem 2.6:** The following conditions are equivalent for a projective module M.

- (1) Every  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ , s(M) is projective.
- (2) Every factor module of a WM principally injective module is WM principally injective.
- (3) Every factor module of an injective R module is WM principally injective.

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let N be a WM-principally injective, X a submodule of N,  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and let  $\phi: s(M) \to N/X$  be an R-homomorphism. Then by (1), there exists an R-homomorphism  $\hat{\phi}: s(M) \to N$  such that  $\phi = \eta \hat{\phi}$  where  $\eta: N \to N/X$  is the natural R-epimorphism. Since N is WM-principally injective, there exists an R-homomorphism  $t: M \to N$  which is an extension of  $\hat{\phi}$  to M. Then  $\eta t$  is an extension of  $\phi$  to M.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : is clear.
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and  $\alpha : A \to B$  an R-epimorphism, and let  $\phi : s(M) \to B$  be an R-homomorphism. Embed A in an injective module E [1, 18.6]. Then  $B \simeq A / Ker(\alpha)$  is a submodule of  $E / Ker(\alpha)$  so by hypothesis,  $\phi$  can be extended to  $\hat{\phi} : M \to E / Ker(\alpha)$ .

Since M is projective,  $\hat{\phi}$  can be lifted to  $\beta: M \to E$ . It is clear that  $\beta(s(M)) \subset A$ . Therefore we have lifted  $\phi$ .

Recall that a right R – module M is call (C2) [6] if, every submodule of M that is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is itself a direct summand of M. M is call (C3) if, whenever N and K are direct summands of M with  $N \cap K = 0$  then  $N \oplus K$  is also a direct summand of M.

**Lemma 2.7:** Let M be a principal, WO – principally injective module. Then M satisfies the conditions (C2) and (C3).

## **Proof:**

- (C2):Let M=mR,  $m\in M$  and  $nR\simeq e(mR)$  where  $n\in M$  and  $e^2=e\in S$ . Then e(mR) is WM- principally injective by Lemma 2.4 and hence nR is also WM- principally injective. Since  $nR\simeq e(mR)$ , there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma$  such that  $nR=\sigma e(mR)$ . Since  $\sigma e\in S\setminus W(S)$ , then  $nR\subset^{\oplus}M$  Lemma 2.5.
- (C3): Let  $M = N \oplus K$ . Write N = e(M) and K = f(M) where e, f are idempotents in S. Then  $e(M) \oplus f(M) = e(M) \oplus (1-e)f(M)$ . Since  $(1-e)f(M) \simeq f(M)$ , (1-e)f(M) = g(M) for some  $g^2 = g \in S$  by (C2). Let h = e + g ge, then  $h^2 = h$  and  $e(M) \oplus f(M) = h(M)$ . This prove (C3).

**Theorem 2.8:** Let M be a WM – principally injective, quasi-projective module and  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) s(M) is a direct summand of M.
- (2) s(M) is M-projective.
- (3) s(M) is WM principally injective.

#### **Proof:**

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : It follows from the projectivity of M.
- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Since the sequence  $0 \to \text{Ker}(s) \to M \to s(M) \to 0$  splits, s(M) is isomorphic to a direct summand of M. Hence s(M) is a direct summand of M by (C2), so it is WM principally injective by Lemma 2.4.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : It follows from Lemma 2.5.

**Theorem 2.9:** Let M be a right R – module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) M is WQ principally injective.
- (2)  $l_s(Ker(s)) = Ss$  for each  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ .
- (3)  $Ker(s) \subset Ker(t)$ ,  $s, t \in S$  and  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  implies that  $St \subset Ss$ .
- (4)  $l_s(Im(t) \cap Ker(s)) = l_s(Im(t)) + Ss$  for  $s, t \in S$  with  $st \in S \setminus W(S)$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clearly,  $Ss \subset l_s(Ker(s))$  for all  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ . Let  $t \in l_s(Ker(s))$  and define  $\phi: s(M) \to M$  by  $\phi(s(m)) = t(m)$  for every  $m \in M$ . Then  $\phi$  is well-defined because  $Ker(s) \subset Ker(t)$ . By (1), there exists an R -homomorphism  $\hat{\phi}: M \to M$  such that  $\phi = \hat{\phi}t$  where  $t: s(M) \to M$  is the inclusion map. Hence  $t = \phi s = \hat{\phi}s \in Ss$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \colon \text{If } Ker(s) \subset Ker(t), \ s, \ t \in S \text{ with } s \in S \setminus W(S), \text{ then } l_S(Ker(t)) \subset l_S(Ker(s)). \text{ Since } St \subset l_S(Ker(t)) \text{ and by } (2), \ l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss, \text{ so we have } St \subset Ss.$ 

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ : Clearly,  $l_s(Im(t)) + Ss \subset l_s(Im(t) \cap Ker(s))$ .

Let  $s,\ t\in S$  with  $st\in S\setminus W(S)$  and let  $\phi\in l_S(Im(t)\cap Ker(s))$ . Then  $Ker(st)\subset Ker(\phi t)$ , and so  $S\phi t\subset Sst$  by (3) because  $st\in S\setminus W(S)$ . Thus  $\phi t=\hat{\phi}st,\ \hat{\phi}\in S$  so  $(\phi-\hat{\phi}s)\in l_S(Im(t))$ . It follows that  $\phi\in l_S(Im(t))+Ss$ .

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and  $\phi: s(M) \to M$  be an R-homomorphism.

Then  $\varphi s \in l_S(Ker(\varphi s)) \subset l_S(Ker(s)) = l_S(Ker(s) \cap Im1) = l_S(Im1) + Ss = Ss$  by (4) because  $s1 \in S \setminus W(S)$ . Thus there exists an R -homomorphism  $\hat{\varphi} \in S$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  to M.

The following theorem is a generalization of [9, Theorem 2.8]

**Theorem 2.10:** Let M be a WQ – principally injective module and s,  $t \in S$  with  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ .

- (1) If s(M) embeds into t(M), then Ss is an image of St.
- (2) If t(M) is an image of s(M), then St can be embedded into Ss.
- (3) If  $s(M) \approx t(M)$ , then  $Ss \approx St$ .

**Proof:** (1) Let  $f:s(M) \to t(M)$  be an R-monomorphism. Since M is WQ-principally injective, there exists an R-homomorphism  $\hat{f}:M \to M$  such that  $\hat{f}\iota_1=\iota_2 f$  where  $\iota_1:s(M) \to M$  and  $\iota_2:t(M) \to M$  are the inclusion maps. Let  $\sigma:St \to Ss$  defined by  $\sigma(ut)=u\hat{f}s$  for every  $u \in S$ . Since  $\hat{f}s(M) \subset t(M)$ ,  $\sigma$  is well-defined. It is clear that  $\sigma$  is an S-homomorphism. Since f is monic, Ker(s)=Ker(fs) so  $fs \in S \setminus W(S)$  and hence by

Theorem 2.9:  $Ss \subset Sfs$ . Then  $s \in Sfs \subset \sigma(St)$ .

- (2) By the same notations as in (1), let  $f:s(M)\to t(M)$  be an R-epimorphism. Since M is WQ-principally injective, there exists an R-homomorphism  $\hat{f}:M\to M$  such that  $\hat{f}\iota_1=\iota_2f$ . Let  $\sigma:St\to Ss$  defined by  $\sigma(ut)=u\hat{f}s$  for every  $u\in S$ . It is clear that  $\sigma$  is an S-homomorphism. If  $ut\in Ker(\sigma)$ , then  $0=\sigma(ut)=u\hat{f}s=uts$ .
- (3) Follows from (1) and (2)

If M is any right R – module, write

$$\hat{\Delta} = \ \big\{ s \in S : \ Ker(1+ts) = 0 \ \mathrm{for \ all} \ t \in S \ \big\}.$$

Since  $Ker(s) \cap Ker(1+ts) = 0$ ,  $W(S) \subset \hat{\Delta}$ . It is well-known that, for a quasi-continuous module M, M is continuous if and only if S/W(S) is regular and W(S) = J(S)

[6, Proposition 3.15]. We now investigate when W(S) = J(S).

**Theorem 2.11:** Let M be WQ – principally injective.

- (1)  $J(S) = \hat{\Delta}$
- (2) If S is local, then  $J(S) = \{s \in S : Ker(s) \neq 0\}$ .
- (3) If S/W(S) is regular, then W(S) = J(S).
- (4) If M is uniform, then  $Z(S_s) \subset J(S)$ .

#### **Proof:**

(1) For any  $s \in J(S)$  and  $t \in S$ ,  $g(1+ts) = 1_M$  for some  $g \in S$ . Then Ker(1+ts) = 0, and hence  $J(S) \subset \hat{\Delta}$ . On the other hand, if Ker(1+ts) = 0, then

 $(1+ts) \in S \setminus W(S)$  so by Theorem 2.9,  $S = I_S(Ker(1+ts)) = S(1+ts)$ .

Hence  $g(1+ts) = 1_M$  for some  $g \in S$ . This shows that  $s \in J(S)$ .

- (2) Since S is local,  $Ss \neq S$  for any  $s \in J(S)$ . If Ker(s) = 0, then  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$  and so  $\alpha : s(M) \to M$  given by  $\alpha(s(m)) = m$  for any  $m \in M$  is an R-homomorphism. Since M be WQ-principally injective, let  $\beta \in S$  be an extension of  $\alpha$  to M. It follows that  $\beta s = 1_M$  so Ss = S, which is a contradiction. This shows that  $J(S) \subset \left\{ s \in S : Ker(s) \neq 0 \right\}$ . The other inclusion is clear.
- (3) Clearly,  $W(S) \subset J(S)$ . If  $s \in J(S)$ , then  $(1-s\alpha)s = s s\alpha s \in W(S)$  for some  $\alpha \in S$ . Since  $1-s\alpha$  has a left inverse,  $s \in W(S)$ . This shows that  $J(S) \subset W(S)$ .
- (4) Let  $s \in Z(S_s)$ . Then  $Ker(s) \neq 0$ . For any  $t \in S$  we have  $Ker(s) \cap Ker(1+ts) = 0$ , then Ker(1+ts) = 0. Hence  $s \in J(S)$  by (1).

A right R - module M is called a *self-generator* [11] if it is generates all its submodules. A right R - module N is called M - *small principally injective* [14] if, for each  $s \in S$  with  $s(M) \ll M$ , any R - homomorphism from s(M) to N can be extended to an R - homomorphism from M to M. M is called quasi-small M - *small principally injective*.

**Lemma 2.12:** [14, Proposition 3.2.3] Let M be a principal module which is a self-generator. If M is quasi-small P-injective, then S is a right SP-injective ring.

**Theorem 2.13:** Let M be a principal module which is a self-generator. Then M is quasi-principally injective if and only if M is WQ – principally injective and quasi-small P – injective.

**Proof:** Let M be a WQ-principally injective module which is a quasi-small P-injective module. Then  $W(S) \subset J(S)$  by Theorem 2.9. Let s(M) be an M-cyclic of M. If  $s \in S \setminus W(S)$ , then  $l_s(Ker(s)) = Ss$  by Theorem 2.9. If  $s \in W(S)$ , then  $s \in J(S)$ . We claim that  $l_s(Ker(s)) = Ss$ . Clearly  $Ss \subset l_s(Ker(s))$ . Let  $\alpha \in l_s(Ker(s))$ . Define  $\phi: sS \to S$  by  $\phi(s\beta) = \alpha\beta$  for every  $\beta \in S$ . Since  $Ker(s) \subset Ker(\alpha)$ ,  $\phi$  is well-defined. It is clear that  $\phi$  is an S-homomorphism. Since S is a right SP-injective ring, there exists an S-homomorphism  $\hat{\phi}: S \to S$  such that  $\phi = t\hat{\phi}$  where  $t: sS \to S$  is the inclusion map. Hence  $\alpha = \phi s = \hat{\phi}s = \hat{\phi}(1)s \in Ss$ , and so  $l_s(Ker(s)) \subset Ss$ .

#### P. Yordsorn\* / WQ-principally Injective Modules / IJMA- 6(2), Feb.-2015.

The author is grateful to Prof. S. Wongwai for many helpful comments and suggestions. The author also wishes to thank an anonymous referee for his or her suggestions which led to substantial improvements of this paper. This research is supported by the Rajabhat Rajanagarindra University.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules", Graduate Texts in Math.No.13 Springer-verlag, New York, 1992.
- 2. Camillo V., Commutative rings whose principal ideals are annihilators, Portugal. Math., 46(1989), p. 33-37.
- 3. N. V. Dung, D. V. Huynh, P. F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, "Extending Modules", Pitman, London, 1994.
- 4. Lam T. Y., A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- 5. W. Junchao, JCP-INJECTIVE RINGS International Electronic Journal of Algebra, Volume 6 (2009) 1-22.
- 6. S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Muller, "Continuous and Discrete Modules", London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 14, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- 7. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, *Principally injective rings*, J. Algebra, 174(1995), 77--93.
- 8. W. K. Nicholson, J. K. Park and M. F. Yousif, *Principally quasi-injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, 27:4(1999),1683--1693.
- 9. N. V. Sanh, K. P. Shum, S. Dhompongsa and S. Wongwai, On *quasi-principally injective modules*, Algebra Coll.6: 3(1999), 269--276.
  - WO principally Injective Modules
- 10. L.V. Thuyet, and T.C.Quynh, *On small injective rings*, simple-injective and quasi-Frobenius rings, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol.78 (2), (2009) pp. 161-172.
- 11. R. Wisbauer, "Foundations of Module and Ring Theory", Gordon and Breach London, Tokyo e.a., 1991.
- 12. S. Wongwai, *On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective module*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol.71, 1 (2002), pp. 27-33.
- 13. S. Wongwai, Small Principally Quasi-injective modules, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol.6, no. 11, 527-534.
- 14. Passkorn Yaudsaun, Quasi-Small Principally Injective Modules, RMUTT Research Repository, 2012.

## Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]